

## Tutorial 4: The BMM-Algorithm: Not a BMW!

Given a finite dimensional vector space  $V$  over a field  $K$ , we want to turn it into a module over the polynomial ring  $P = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . How can we succeed in doing this? One important example is the case  $V = P/I$  where  $I \subseteq P$  is a zero-dimensional ideal. Here the canonical surjective map  $P \rightarrow P/I$  makes  $V$  a cyclic  $P$ -module. Are there other examples? How can we define a  $P$ -module structure on  $V$ ? How can we check whether a  $P$ -module structure on  $V$  yields a cyclic module? These are the questions. Now let us look for answers.

Let us choose a  $K$ -basis  $B = (v_1, \dots, v_\mu)$  of  $V$ . Thus every endomorphism of  $V$  can be represented by a matrix of size  $\mu \times \mu$  over  $K$ . In particular, when  $V$  is a  $P$ -module, then  $M_1, \dots, M_n$  denote the matrices corresponding to the multiplication endomorphisms  $\mu_{x_i} : V \rightarrow V$ .

Using the following Buchberger-Möller algorithm for matrices, we can calculate the kernel  $\text{Ann}_P(V)$  of the composite map

$$\eta : P \rightarrow \text{End}_K(V) \cong \text{Mat}_\mu(K)$$

where  $\eta$  is the map which sends a polynomial  $f \in P$  to the multiplication map  $\mu_f : P \rightarrow P$ . Moreover, the algorithm provides a vector space basis of  $P/\text{Ann}_P(V)$ . To facilitate the formulation of this algorithm, we use the following convention. Given a matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}_\mu(K)$ , we order its entries by letting  $a_{ij} \prec a_{k\ell}$  if  $i < k$ , or if  $i = k$  and  $j < \ell$ . In this way we *flatten* the matrix to a vector in  $K^{\mu^2}$ . Then we can reduce  $A$  against a list of matrices by using the usual Gaussian reduction procedure.

### a) (The BMM-Algorithm)

Let  $\sigma$  be a term ordering on  $\mathbb{T}^n$ , and let  $M_1, \dots, M_n \in \text{Mat}_\mu(K)$  be pairwise commuting. Consider the following sequence of instructions.

1. Let  $G = \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{O} = \emptyset$ ,  $S = \emptyset$ ,  $N = \emptyset$ , and  $L = \{1\}$ .
2. If  $L = \emptyset$ , return the pair  $(G, \mathcal{O})$  and stop. Otherwise let  $t = \min_\sigma(L)$  and delete it from  $L$ .
3. Compute  $t(M_1, \dots, M_n)$  and reduce it against  $N = (N_1, \dots, N_k)$  to obtain

$$R = t(M_1, \dots, M_n) - \sum_{i=1}^k c_i N_i \quad \text{with } c_i \in K$$

4. If  $R = 0$ , append the polynomial  $t - \sum_i c_i s_i$  to  $G$ , where  $s_i$  denotes the  $i^{\text{th}}$  element of  $S$ . Remove from  $L$  all multiples of  $t$ . Continue with step (2).
5. Otherwise, we have  $R \neq 0$ . Append  $R$  to  $N$  and  $t - \sum_i c_i s_i$  to  $S$ . Append the term  $t$  to  $\mathcal{O}$ , and append to  $L$  those elements of  $\{x_1 t, \dots, x_n t\}$  which are neither multiples of a term in  $L$  nor in  $\text{LT}_\sigma(G)$ . Continue with step (2).

Prove that this is an algorithm which returns the reduced  $\sigma$ -Gröbner basis  $G$  of  $\text{Ann}_P(V)$  and a list of terms  $\mathcal{O}$  whose residue classes form a  $K$ -vector space basis of  $P/\text{Ann}_P(V)$ .

*Hint:* You can proceed as follows:

1. To prove termination, use Corollary 1.3.6.
  2. Let  $I = \text{Ann}_P(V)$ , and let  $H$  be the reduced  $\sigma$ -Gröbner basis of  $I$ . To show correctness, prove by induction that after a term  $t$  has been treated by the algorithm, the following holds: the list  $G$  contains all elements of  $H$  whose leading terms are less than or equal to  $t$ , and the list  $\mathcal{O}$  contains all elements of  $\mathbb{T}^n \setminus \text{LT}_\sigma\{I\}$  which are less than or equal to  $t$ .
  3. Show that the polynomial  $t - \sum_{i=1}^k c_i s_i$  resulting from step (3) of the next iteration has leading term  $t$ .
  4. Prove that the polynomial  $g = t - \sum_{i=1}^k c_i s_i$  is an element of  $H$  if  $R = 0$  in step (4).
  5. Finally, show that the term  $t$  is not contained in  $\text{LT}_\sigma(I)$  if  $R \neq 0$  in step (5).
- b) Apply the BMM-Algorithm to the following example. Let  $V = \mathbb{Q}^3$ , let  $B = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$  be its canonical basis, and let  $V$  be equipped the the  $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ -module structure defined by

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Compute the reduced  $\text{DegLex}$ -Gröbner basis of  $\text{Ann}_P(V)$  and a  $K$ -basis of  $P/\text{Ann}_P(V)$ .

- c) Implement the BMM-Algorithm in a CoCoA function  $\text{BMM}(\dots)$ . Apply your function to the example above and compare its result to yours.

Now we are ready for the second algorithm of this tutorial: we can check effectively whether a  $P$ -module structure given by commuting matrices defines a cyclic module.

d) **(Cyclicity Test)**

Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional  $K$ -vector space with basis  $B = (v_1, \dots, v_\mu)$ , and let  $M_1, \dots, M_n$  be pairwise commuting matrices. We equip  $V$  with the  $P$ -module structure defined by  $M_1, \dots, M_n$ . Consider the following sequence of instructions.

1. Using the BMM-Algorithm, compute a set of terms  $\mathcal{O} = \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$  whose residue classes form a  $K$ -basis of  $P/\text{Ann}_P(V)$ .
2. If  $m \neq \mu$  then return "V is not cyclic" and stop.

3. Let  $z_1, \dots, z_\mu$  be new indeterminates and  $A \in \text{Mat}_\mu(K[z_1, \dots, z_\mu])$  the matrix whose columns are  $t_i(M_1, \dots, M_n) \cdot (z_1, \dots, z_\mu)^{\text{tr}}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \mu$ . Compute the determinant  $d = \det(A) \in K[z_1, \dots, z_\mu]$ .
4. Check if there exists a tuple  $(c_1, \dots, c_\mu) \in K^\mu$  for which the polynomial value  $d(c_1, \dots, c_\mu)$  is non-zero. In this case return "V is cyclic" and  $w = c_1v_1 + \dots + c_\mu v_\mu$ . Then stop.
5. Return "V is not cyclic" and stop.

Prove that this is an algorithm which checks whether  $V$  is cyclic and, in the affirmative case, computes a generator.

*Hint:* Examine the images of the basis elements  $\{\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_\mu\}$  for linear independence.

- e) Apply the Cyclicity Test to the example above. Show that  $V$  is cyclic and find a generator.
- f) Let  $V = \mathbb{Q}^3$ , let  $B = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$  be its canonical basis, and equip  $V$  with the  $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ -module structure defined by the commuting matrices

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Apply the Cyclicity Test and show that  $V$  is not cyclic although the dimensions of  $V$  and of  $P/\text{Ann}_P(V)$  coincide.

- g) Write a CoCoA function `CyclTest(...)` which takes a list of  $n$  commuting matrices and checks whether they define a cyclic  $P$ -module. Apply your function to the above examples.

*Hint:* If the field  $K$  is infinite, the check in step (4) can be simplified to checking  $d \neq 0$ . For a finite field  $K$ , we can, in principle, check all tuples in  $K^\mu$ .